

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,733	NICOLAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Boris L. Chervinsky. (3) _____.

(2) Mark D. Swanson, Reg. No. 48,498. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,6,7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to correct typographical error in claim 1 and to cancel claims 6 and 7 to avoid 112 rejection.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)